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| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment Feedback** | | | | |
| **Student Name** | **Lance Flatman** | | | |
| **Assessment Number** | **33127/01** | | | |
| **Unit (title and code)** | **ICTDBS403 Create basic databases**  **ICTPRG402 Apply query language**  **ICTSAD501 Model data objects** | | | |
| **Course** | **Certificate IV in Programming** | | | |
| **Assessor** | **Kruti Patel** | | | |
| **Date** | **22-07-2017** | | **Grade** | **High Distinction** |
| **Withheld Comments Only:** | | | | |
|  | | | | |
| **Holistic Feedback** | | | | |
| **Strengths** | You have very good understanding of database theory. Your ERD and rough database schema is very good! Also, your brief for requirement and normalisation issues is very good. You included the problems and suggested solutions. You have explained ERD very descriptive and nicely with all steps and also describe all the entities. Your assessment is very well structured and professionally written. Your step by step normalisation points were spot on. You have also pointed Data Integrity and Data Redundancy issues here. I really like your how you write recommendation point wise. It was easy to read and I really like it. Very well done! | | | |
| **Weaknesses** |  | | | |
| **Areas for Improvement** | This is very small thing and its just adding references to your documents. But overall I am very impressed with your submission. Keep up the good work Lance! | | | |
| **Specific Feedback** | | | | |
| **Factual and theoretical knowledge** | Outstanding ☐ | With your answers you show evidence that you can analyse requirements for a database and use data modelling to design the database to suit requirements. You also provided evidence that you can identify relationships and develop normalisation. Well done! | | |
| Good ✓ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Specialised skills knowledge** | Outstanding ☐ | N/A | | |
| Good ☐ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Application of techniques within unpredictable scenarios** | Outstanding ☐ | N/A | | |
| Good ☐ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Demonstration  of practical skills** | Outstanding ☐ | Overall, the demonstration of the practical skills was good. You analysed the case study and you provided evidence that you can analyse business data to understand operations, identify entities, attributes, data types and relationships of data, review business rules to determine impact and document relationships in an entity relationship diagram. As I have mentioned, your assessment is very well structured. | | |
| Good ✓ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Ability to demonstrate autonomy and judgement with changing parameters** | Outstanding ☐ | N/A | | |
| Good ☐ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Communication skills** | Outstanding ☐ | The presentation of the assessment was good. The document was easy to read and well structured. Your brief addressed all the required points. You have added reference as well. | | |
| Good ✓ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |
| **Other criteria:**  **Knowledge of workplace procedures relating to task** | Outstanding ☐ | N/A | | |
| Good ☐ |
| Satisfactory ☐ |
| Unsatisfactory ☐ |
| Not Applicable ☐ |